Application No. Applicant(s) 09/838,961 NESAMONEY ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Neveen Abel-Jalil 2165 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Mr. James Hao (Attorney of Record). (2) Neveen Abel-Jalil. (4) . Date of Interview: 23 November 2004. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: N/A. Identification of prior art discussed: N/A. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. f) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner contacted the Attorney of Record on November 23, 2004 to verify if a response has been filed to the office action mailed on May 18, 2004. The Attorney couldn't confirm the status of the case instead directed the Examiner to contact a different law firm stating that the case has been transferred to them and no further information can be verified. The Examiner received a call from the new firm confirming the correspondence address change and verifying the request for the case to go abandoned. Therefore, this application is considered abandoned by the applicant for failure to timely file a proper response to the office action mailed on 18-5-2004.